

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO). E	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/753,087	·	01/07/2004	Yoshio Iwasaki	89285.0005	5419	
26021	7590	02/25/2005		EXAMINER		
	••	ON L.L.P.	CHEN, VIVIAN			
500 S. GR SUITE 19	AND AVE 00	NUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANO	ELES, CA	90071-2611	1773	1773		

DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					11.				
		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)					
	081 4-11 0	10/753,087		IWASAKI ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Vivian Chen		1773	_				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess				
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 10 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repuly provided provided period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature ply received by the Office later than three months after the mail and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, heply within the statutory will apply and will expute, cause the application.	nowever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comn O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
·	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This action is non-final.								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applicatio	on							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗔	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requ	irement.						
Applicat	ion Papers	·							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		objected to by the E	xaminer.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	- · ·	-	` ,	1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				• •				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	nts have been re nts have been re iority documents	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive	on No	age				
	application from the International Bure	•	` ''						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified	copies not received	d.					
Attachmen	file)								
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	ا به	☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Dai	te					
3) 🔼 Inform Pape	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-2004</u> 6-2004	8) 5) [6) [_	itent Application (PTO-15	i2)				

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-13 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-13 of copending Application No. 10/430,352. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a display strip with the recited sealant layer, and packages detachable from said strip.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending

Art Unit: 1773

application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JUNKER ET AL (US 6,481,184) in view of BAETZOLD ET AL (US 6,221,448).

JUNKER ET AL discloses a display strip comprising a support coated with a sealing layer contacting and adhering bags. (lines 48-63, col. 2).

BAETZOLD ET AL discloses that it is well known in the art to use a peelable sealable composition comprising ethylene-vinylacetate containing 5-60 wt% vinylacetate and up to 75 wt% tackifier resin (e.g., a hydrocarbon or terpene resin). (line 40-55, col. 5; line 45, col. 7 to line 5, col. 9; line 45-68, col. 10).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a known peelable composition as disclosed in BAETZOLD ET AL as the sealing layer in JUNKER ET AL in order to form a display strip that forms readily releasable seals with packages or bags. One of ordinary skill in the art would have utilized combinations of known packaging materials (e.g., polyester or polyolefin films, paper, metal foil, etc.) as the

Application/Control Number: 10/753,087 Page 4

Art Unit: 1773

support for the display strip depending on the stiffness, visual properties, and other mechanical properties desired for specific applications.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 22, 2005

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

- Ol-